



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/596,163	06/16/2000	Vito Savino	DDM99-025	2160

7590

02/07/2003

Law Office of Donald D Mondul  
6631 Lovington Drive  
Dallas, TX 75252-2519

EXAMINER
----------

ABRAMS, NEIL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2839

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/596163

Applicant(s)

Examiner

N. Abrams

Group Art Unit

2835

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address —

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-27-02

☐ This action is FINAL.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 8-13 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.

☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement

## Application Papers

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the:

☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received

in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

## Attachment(s)

☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No. (s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☒ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2839

The restriction requirement is modified; claims 1-13 and species of figs 1-6 are joined for examination. Fig 7 remains a non-elected species. Applicant is asked to list any claims directed to figure 7.

Spec. Page 10, lines 16, 21 "18" should be --18c--.

Claim 1, lines 10, 11 "installation" is incorrect; should it be --installed--? See line 6.

Abstract is objected to as unclear. It should be rewritten to clearly set forth the invention in a more understandable manner, to refer to "ferrite beads", to fig 6 molded cover and to fig. 7 snap on cover, and that the supports or covers include openings for frictionally fitting over leads of a circuit component to secure them in place.

Title "in association with" should be changed to --onto-- or --over--.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto in view of France)

It would have been obvious to form Sakamoto inductor 25 in the manner of that of France at 10. This would help to maintain the inductor in place during manufacture.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over France.

The recited inductor is shown by France at 10. Recited use does not overcome such device where structure of claimed device is shown. Also wire 12 is read as a rod.

Claims 8-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vander Heyden.

• Art Unit: 2839


The Vander Heyden assembly (see fig 3) includes toroidal element 40 and flexible support 38 fitted over rod 10a and retaining the toroid in place. For claim 13, obvious to mount the parts in the recited manner.

Claims 1-7 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to N Abrams at telephone number (703) 308-1729.

N ABRAMS/pj

02/05/03

  
N. ABRAMS  
ART UNIT 322